RPG/R01/R03/R21/R33/R34 Review

Application #:

Principal Investigator(s):

# OVERALL IMPACT

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following scored review criteria, and additional review criteria. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major scientific impact.

Overall Impact *Write a clear, concise paragraph that explains the basis for your score. Identify and weigh the most important strengths and weaknesses of the application.*

# REVIEW CRITERIA

Reviewers will evaluate Factors 1, 2 and 3 in the determination of scientific merit, and in providing an overall impact score. In addition, Factors 1 and 2 will each receive a separate factor score.

**Major Score-Drivers Strengths**

•

**Weaknesses**

•

Factor 1. Importance of the Research (Significance and Innovation)

|  |
| --- |
| Factor 2. Rigor and Feasibility (Approach) |
| **Major Score-Drivers Strengths**•**Weaknesses**•Inclusion Plans **Applicable Only for Human Subjects research and not IRB Exemption #4**As part of their evaluation, reviewers should consider the population characteristics of the disease, condition, behavior, and/or the scientific goals of the work proposed:* Sex/Gender: Click Here to Select
* Race/Ethnicity: Click Here to Select
* For NIH-Defined Phase III trials, plans for valid design and analysis (applicable to sex/gender and race/ethnicity): Click Here to Select
* Based on Age: Click Here to Select

If not previously addressed in Factor 2 Major Score-Drivers, briefly address specific concerns regarding inclusion or plans for valid design and analysis: |

|  |
| --- |
| Factor 3. Expertise and Resources (Investigator(s) and Environment) |
| Click Here to SelectAddress specific gaps in expertise or resources needed to carry out the project: |

# ADDITIONAL REVIEW CRITERIA

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider the following additional items while determining scientific and technical merit, but will not give criterion scores for these items, and should consider them in providing an overall impact score.

Click Here to Select

Briefly address specific concerns regarding human subject protections:

Data and Safety Monitoring Plan (Applicable for Clinical Trials Only):

Click Here to Select

* + Briefly address specific concerns regarding the Data and Safety Monitoring Plan:

Protections for Human Subjects

|  |
| --- |
| Vertebrate Animals |
| Click Here to SelectBriefly address specific concerns regarding vertebrate animal protections:• |

|  |
| --- |
| Biohazards |
| Click Here to SelectBriefly address specific concerns regarding biohazards:• |

|  |
| --- |
| Resubmission |
| Comments (if applicable):• |

|  |
| --- |
| Renewal |
| Comments (if applicable):• |

|  |
| --- |
| Revision |
| Comments (if applicable):• |

# ADDITIONAL REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider each of the following items, but will not give scores for these items, and should not consider them in providing an overall impact score.

|  |
| --- |
| Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources |
| Click Here to SelectBriefly address specific concerns regarding the identification or validity of key biological and/or chemical resources:• |

Click Here to Select

Briefly address specific concerns regarding the proposed budget:

•

Budget and Period of Support